PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINAL XAMINING AUTHORITY | | | 05-05-2004 | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--|--|
| То: | | PCT | ₹00/€ | | |
| HEINÄNEN OY ANNNKATU 31-33 C FIN-00100 HELSINKI | INTER | ITTEN OPINION OF THE NATIONAL PRELIMINAI AMINING AUTHORITY | RY | | |
| Finland | 4 | (PCT Rule 66) 2. 7., 2004 | | | |
| | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 0 3 -05- 2004 | | | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | REPLY DUE | within 60 days from | İ | | |
| 104067 pi/ha | | the above date of mailing | | | |
| International application No. International filing date | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year |) | | |
| PCT/FI 2003/000508 23-06-2003 | | 24-06-2002 | | | |
| International Parent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica | tion and IPC | | 1 | | |
| G02B 6/42 | | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | | |
| Nokia Oyj et al | | | | | |
| | Non Audhorfor | | | | |
| 1. The written opinion established by the International | | | | | |
| is | is not | an Authority | 1 | | |
| considered to be a written opinion of the Internations | l Preliminary Examin | ng Authority. | | | |
| 2. This first (first, etc.) opinion conta | ins indications relating | g to the lonowing nems. | | | |
| Box No. I Basis of the opinion | , | | | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with re | egard to novelty, inver | nive step and industrial applica | bility | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 citations and explanations supporting | (a)(ii) with regard to n | ovelty, inventive step or indust | rial applicability; | | |
| Box No. VI Certain documents cited | | | | | |
| | polication | | ļ | | |
| Box No. VII Certain defects in the international a | | | | | |
| | one of process | | | | |
| The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant of the control o | n may hefore the expi | ration of that time limit, reques | t this Authority to | | |
| ment an extension see Kille 00.4(e). | | | | | |
| How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, acc Rules 66.8 and 66.9. | | | | | |
| Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. | | | | | |
| For an additional opportunity to sublist antended, the reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. | | | | | |
| 4 The final date by which the international preliminary repor | The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 24-10-2004 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Name and maining address of the it EAVOL | | | | | |
| Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 | Mr | ctax /itu | | | |
| S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Faccimile No. 46, 8, 667, 72, 88 | Telephone No. 4 | estöö /itw 6 8 782 25 00 | | | |

Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88
Form PCT/PEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL IMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

/FI 2003/000508

| Box | No. 1 | Basis of the opinion | | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | |
| | | This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: | | | | |
| | | international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) | | | | |
| | | publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) | | | | |
| | | international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) | | | | |
| 2. | which. | gard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets wave been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as ally filed."): | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | the international application as originally filed/furnished | | | | |
| | | the description: | | | | |
| | | pages as originally filed/furnished | | | | |
| | | pages received by this Authority on | | | | |
| | _ | pages received by this Authority on | | | | |
| | | the claims: as originally filed/furnished | | | | |
| | | or amended (regether with any statement) under Article 19 | | | | |
| | | the day of the day of the same | | | | |
| | | pages received by this Authority on | | | | |
| | | the drawings: | | | | |
| | Ш | as originally filed/firmished | | | | |
| | | pages as originally intertunioned pages as original pages | | | | |
| | | pages received by this Authority on | | | | |
| | | a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing. | | | | |
| 3. | | The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: | | | | |
| | | the description, pages | | | | |
| | | the claims, Nos. | | | | |
| | | the drawings, sheets/figs | | | | |
| | | the sequence listing (specify): | | | | |
| | | any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): | | | | |
| 4. | | This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). | | | | |
| | | the description, pages | | | | |
| | | the claims, Nos. | | | | |
| | | the drawings, sheets/figs | | | | |
| | | the sequence listing (specify): | | | | |
| | | any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

International application No.

PQ 71 2003/000508

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRINTERNATIONAL PRINTERNA

| Bo | No. V | Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation | der Rule 66.20 ns supporting | (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; such statement |
|----|-----------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Statement | ı | | |
| | Nove | lty (N) | Claims Claims | |
| | Inver | ative step (IS) | Claims Claims | 1.2.10 |
| | Indus | atrial applicability (IA) | Claims Claims | |

2. Citations and explanations:

Cited document D: US 5940564 A

Document D is cited as a document of the category "X" in the International Search Report.

Document D discloses an optoelectronic component 14,52 and a support structure 66 having a hole, see especially figure 3. An alignment feature 62 is arranged on the surface of the component, and it is mentioned in the description that this feature may be any passive alignment feature known in the alignment art (col. 14, lines 16-20). The use of stud bumps as alignment feature, as defined in the independent claims 1 and 10 in the International Application, is deemed trivial. Document D discloses that the alignment feature is arranged along the periphery of the hole, thus aligning the component to the hole. Thus claims 1 and 10 are deemed to lack an inventive step.

It is evident from figure 3 in document D that the outer surface of the alignment feature is arranged against the hole walls. Thus claim 2 is deemed to lack an inventive step.